
# **Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning**

#### **General**

Cognitive theory of multimedia learning is one of the cognitivist learning theories introduced by an American psychology professor Richard Mayer in the 1990s. This theory is a sub-theory of the cognitive load theory applied especially for multimedia learning, and therefore has many similarities with it. Basic assumption of Mayer's theory is that the **human working memory** has **two sub-components** that **work in parallel** (visual and verbal/acoustic) and that learning can be more successful if both of this channels are used for information processing at the same time.

### What is cognitive theory of multimedia learning?

Mayer's theory is based on three assumptions suggested by cognitive research 1)2):



- 1. **Dual-channel assumption** The verbal and visual channels (similar to what Baddeley called *phonological loop system* and *visuospatial sketchpad*<sup>3)</sup>) in our working memory are separated and can be used for processing information simultaneously thus enhancing process of learning. The suggestion that human working memory has more sub-components firstly came from the working memory models designed by Alan Baddeley and Graham Hitch in 1974<sup>4)</sup> and reviewed by Baddeley in 1992<sup>5)</sup>. These findings where further incorporated to the Dual coding theory by Allan Paivio<sup>6)</sup> and later by Mayer and his colleagues.
- 2. **Limited capacity assumption** As Miller's Information processing theory has shown, these channels have limited capacity<sup>7)</sup> and limited time<sup>8)</sup> they can hold information. Too much information can therefore cause *cognitive overload*.<sup>9)</sup>
- 3. **Active-processing assumption** Learning is an active process of collecting, organizing and integrating new information<sup>10)</sup>. Similarities with constructivist learning may be noticed in this definition.

Together with cognitive load theory, which offers a more detailed description of cognitive load types and possible causes of cognitive overload, the mentioned assumptions of cognitive theory of multimedia learning form a framework and **theoretical basis** for most contemporary research on learning. This research is mostly oriented on two goals:

- utilizing both information processing channels, and
- managing cognitive load and avoiding cognitive overload.

Research results have revealed a number of so called principles and effects describing different phenomena related to learning, instructional aids and ways of reducing cognitive load.

## What is the practical meaning of cognitive theory of multimedia learning?

As mentioned, research in frames of cognitive theory of multimedia learning and cognitive load theory has revealed a number of principles and effects introduced by Sweller<sup>11)</sup>, Mayer<sup>12)</sup>, and a number of other researchers. Simplified, these principles and effects suggest that students learn better:

- from words and pictures than from words alone,
- from animation and narration together than only from animation or narration or on-screen text,
- when corresponding words and pictures are presented **close** rather than far from each other on the page or screen,
- when corresponding words and pictures are presented **simultaneously** rather than one after another.
- when extraneous interesting but irrelevant material is excluded rather than included,
- when important information in the learning material is marked or emphasized,
- animation or text are broken down into smaller segments,
- when they are presented with worked examples before they try to solve a problem on their own,
- when they are prompted to self-explain a step in a procedure,
- when they study complex material in collaboration with other students,
- when their prior knowledge is activated prior to learning new material, and
- when they receive amount of guidance depending on their expertise level.

All of this design effects are stronger for low-knowledge learners than for high knowledge learners, and for high-spatial learners rather than for low-spatial learners.

#### **Criticisms**

Cognitive theory of multimedia learning is mostly subjected to same criticisms as the cognitive load theory since it is an extension of it.

## **Keywords and most important names**

- Cognitive theory of multimedia learning, dual coding theory, visual and verbal/acoustic channel, modality principle, redundancy principle, spatial contiguity principle, temporal contiguity principle, coherence principle, individual differences principle
- Richard Mayer

## **Bibliography**

Mayer, Richard E. Multimédia learning. Cambridge University Press, 2001.

Mayer, R. E, J. Heiser and S. Lonn. Cognitive constraints on multimedia learning: When presenting more material results in less understanding. Journal of Educational Psychology 93, no. 1: 187–198. 2001.

#### Read more

Baddeley, Alan D. Is Working Memory Still Working? European Psychologist 7, no. 2: 85-97. July 2002.

Moreno, R., and Mayer, Richard E. Cognitive Principles of Multimedia Learning: The Role of Modality and Contiguity. Journal of Educational Psychology 91, no. 2: p358-368. June 1999.

Mayer, R. E, and V. K Sims. For whom is a picture worth a thousand words? Extensions of a dual-coding theory of multimedia learning. Journal of educational psychology 86: 389–389. 1994.

Mayer, RE, and R Moreno. Animation as an aid to multimedia learning. Educational psychology review 14, no. 1: 87-99. March 2002.

Mayer, Richard E. Multimédia learning. Cambridge University Press, 2001.

Baddeley, A. D., Hitch, G. J. Working Memory. In Bower, G.A. The psychology of learning and motivation: advances in research and theory. 8. New York: Academic Press. pp. 47–89. 1974.

Baddeley, A. Working memory. Science (New York, N.Y.) 255, no. 5044: 556-559. January 31, 1992.

Paivio, A. Mental representations: A dual coding approach. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press. 1986.

Miller, G. A. The magical number seven, plus or minus two: Some limits on our capacity for processing information. Psychology Review 63: 81-97. 1956.

Peterson, L. and Peterson, M. Short-term retention of individual verbal items. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 58, 193–198. 1959.

Mayer, Richard, and Roxana Moreno. Nine Ways to Reduce Cognitive Load in Multimedia Learning. Educational Psychologist 38: 43-52, March 2003.

Last

update: 2023/06/19 learning\_theories:cognitive\_theory\_of\_multimedia\_learning https://www.learning-theories.org/doku.php?id=learning\_theories:cognitive\_theory\_of\_multimedia\_learning&rev=1315903676

11

Sweller, J. Human Cognitive Architecture. In Handbook of research on educational communications and technology, 369-381. Taylor & Francis, 2008.

From:

https://www.learning-theories.org/ - Learning Theories

Permanent link:

 $https://www.learning-theories.org/doku.php?id=learning\_theories:cognitive\_theory\_of\_multimedia\_learning\&rev=1315903676$ 

Last update: 2023/06/19 17:49